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# Protocol of final seminar – review of thesis manuscript

[ ]  **Informatics** [ ]  **Health Science**

Participants

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| PhD student      | Thesis title      |
| Present supervisors      |
| Place and date      |

External reviewer (PhD, senior researcher, no connection to the University of Skövde)1

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name      | Academic title      |
| University, School, Department      |
| Email address      |

1 The external reviewer of the final seminar (before the doctoral degree), must also have at least the qualification required for appointment as a docent competence or the equivalent.

Thesis manuscript and presentation2

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Are there any crucial shortcomings in the **work**?[ ]  Yes [ ]  No | Are there any decisive shortcomings in the **presentation**?[ ]  Yes [ ]  No |
| Should a new final seminar be held?[ ]  Yes [ ]  No | If “Yes”, preliminary date      |
| Does the thesis manuscript and the doctoral student's presentation and defense show such quality that the undersigned external reviewer can recommend the doctoral student to go up and defend the thesis provided that the remaining measures and work are completed?[ ]  Yes [ ]  No |

2 The review should include, but are not limited to: scientific content; achieved results and scientific contribution; the quality and scope of the work; the relevance of included publications; the doctoral student's presentation and ability to discuss the work; if there are any obvious shortcomings linked to the national intended learning outcomes for the intended degree (licentiate degree and doctoral degree, respectively)\*

The reviewer's comments are written under the heading "Summary comments" or attached in a separate appendix.

NOTE! The above assessment does not imply an approval or rejection of the thesis as a whole. This is decided by the examiner after completing the licentiate seminar or by the examining committee after completing the public defense.

 ***Continued on next page***

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\* The Higher Education Ordinance (1993:100) Annex 2

Summary comments3

|  |
| --- |
| *NOTE! The reviewer’s comments can be attached separately – see heading Appendix*        |

3 The comments should include, but are not limited to: scientific content; achieved results and scientific contribution; the quality and scope of the work; the relevance of included publications; the doctoral student's presentation and ability to discuss the work; if there are any obvious shortcomings linked to the national intended learning outcomes for the intended degree (licentiate degree and doctoral degree, respectively)\*

Signatures

|  |
| --- |
| External reviewer |
| PhD student |
| Main supervisor |

Appendices

|  |
| --- |
| The report/ thesis manuscript shall be attached![x]  Yes |
| Written summary comments from the reviewer attached[ ]  Yes [ ]  No |
| Other appendices (if any)      |

The external reviewer fills in the form, attaches any appendices and signs the form.

The main supervisor and PhD student sign the form and attach the thesis manuscript.

The main supervisor submits the protocol (the form) and all appendices to the Registry Officer for record keeping.

*Registrator diarieför handlingarna och expedierar beslutet till följande:*

* *Berörd studierektor för utbildning på forskarnivå*
* *Doktorand*
* *Huvudhandledare*
* *phdstudies@his.se*
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